UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America,	
Plaintiff,	Hon. Janet T. Neff
V.	Case No. 1:18-cr-00051
FRANCISCO MIGUEL SOTO,	
Defendant.	
	/

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D.Mich. LCrR. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on January 17, 2019, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Francisco Miguel Soto entered a plea of guilty to Count 8 of the Second Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. In Count 8 of the Second Superseding Indictment, defendant is charged with sex trafficking a minor in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1591(a)(1), (b)(2) and (c). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:18-cr-00051-JTN ECF No. 191, PageID.1235 Filed 01/18/19 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count 8 of the Second

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that

the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing.

Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and

imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Dated: January 18, 2019 /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

U.S. Magistrate Judge

OBJECTIONS to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of

Court within 14 days of the date of service of this notice. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure

to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's

order. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 155 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947,

949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

2